

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95083
Title of the Manuscript:	Decadal change in irrigation water utilization pattern in West Bengal with reference to Bardhaman and Nadia
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the sustainably development of India.</p> <p>The title could be improved. Instead of naming the two cities, a more general expression like "cities" should be employed. For instance: Decadal change in irrigation water utilization pattern in West Bengal with reference to Bardhaman and Nadia -> Decadal change in the water irrigation pattern in tow cieties of West Bengal, India.</p> <p>The document focused more on overall water consumption rather that just on agriculture. The title must fit the overall aim of the document.</p> <p>The abstract needs revision. The writing needs improvement and more data is required t highlight the main findings of the review article.</p> <p>The subsections are correct however, the data seems a little outdated (2011) and the methodology section (tools of analysis) should be called methodoly. Revise the guidelines for the author to revise this issue.</p> <p>The references are insufficient. For this kind of document more literature shluld be reviewed. This may include references from other countries (comparrissons)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language should be revised.	
Optional/General comments	The document is a very rough draft. Some tables can be replaced by images and even some predictive models can be applied to the data	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jay Molino
Department, University & Country	Universidad Especializada de las Américas, Panama