

Short Research Article

Decadal change in irrigation water utilization pattern in West Bengal with reference to Bardhaman and Nadia

Abstract: This research article is meant to highlight the topic contribution of irrigation structures for different minor irrigation censuses, irrigation potentiality, cropping pattern with the development of irrigation over time and the extent of use of ground water resources have included in the study. Secondary data on irrigation potentiality, cropping pattern, minor irrigation censuses over times has been assembled from Central Ground Water Board (Eastern Region), State water investigation directorate (SWID) and area on different crops is from the official statistics published time to time by public sources. In the state, availability of surface water is more than groundwater. Gap between water demand and availability is more in southern districts of West Bengal. Per capita water available is decreasing as the population is increasing. In both the cases of culturable command area and gross irrigated area, irrigation through the surface water sources has shown negative growth rate.

Introduction:

Groundwater, once a free natural resource is becoming the most valued one in many parts of the world. Although this 'Blue Gold' is replenishable but as the demand of freshwater is increasing at increasing rate, it is becoming scarce gradually (Clarke, 2003; Chatterjee and Purohit, 2009). According to FAO, 2010, at least 50 % of the global population depends on groundwater for drinking water and it is also source of 43% of all the irrigation water. Although, two third parts of the world are covered with water but only 2.5% of this water is freshwater. 68.7% of this freshwater is stored as ice in glaciers and polar ice sheets (Gleick, 1996). Shallow aquifers are the source of almost 30% of total freshwater and less than 1% is available at lakes and rivers (Wang et al., 2012).

India is the second most populated country in the world, about 18% of world population lives here, which is numerically more than 1.3 billion (Gangwar et al., 2013). India has been regarded as one of fastest growing economy in the world (Babones, 2018; Sankaran et al.,

Comment [E1]: Please consider to have a correspondence between the article topic, the explanations regarding the materials and methods used, the results obtained, as well as the conclusions.

My recommendations are find below.

Comment [E2]: Central Ground Water Board (CGWB)

Comment [E3]: State Water Investigation Directorate (SWID)

Comment [E4]: ..of West Bengal, India.

Comment [E5]: Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, about 4-8 keywords should be given. Use of abbreviations should be avoided, only standard abbreviations, well known in the established area may be used, if appropriate. These keywords will be used for indexing.

Comment [E6]: 1.INTRODUCTION

Comment [E7]: Reference style

References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].

Comment [E8]: This will be [3].....

But, why do you indicate such an old information source. Why not, a new one?

Comment [E9]: [4] and so on...I will not do later this observation, but, please consider the recommendation.

Comment [E10]: Again,the source used is not one too old? Now, after about 10 years the situation is possible changed.

Comment [E11]: Babones, 2018; It is missing from the Reference section.

2020). Pro-business reforms after 1990s helped India to achieve this continuous economic growth rate, on the other hand these reforms created a major shift from agrarian sector to service sector (Gupta, 2014). India's agriculture sector has grown at a very low rate since independence, but still 58 % of the population is dependent on agriculture in India; Till now, agriculture sector of India contributes significantly (16%) in national GDP (Basu, 2007; chowdhury *et al.*, 2020; PLFS., 2018-19).

During 1960s, Green revolution programme was initiated in India to achieve self-sufficiency in food grain production. India is now producing about 726 million tonnes of food grains to meet the demand of 1.3 billion people. India needs to produce 40% more food grains to meet the domestic need in next 15 years. Agri food production has been increased 500% in India during 1965-2019 period without less comparative growth in land area (Niti Ayog, 2019). India has achieved this spectacular growth by giving emphasis on intensive cultivation, increase in input use, higher use of water and irrigation, improved seed use in crop cultivation (Chand, 2019). Improved capacity utilization of canal water, more significantly utilization of groundwater, has mostly contributed to increase the agricultural productivity in India (Brown, 2003). To be self-sufficient in food production India introduced Green Revolution in 1960s, since then India saw a significant increase in groundwater irrigation (Shah, 2010). India has the reserve of 4% of total freshwater in the world (Dhawan, 2017). India's water footprint is 980m³/capita which is much less than world average of 1243 m³/capita (Lal, 2014). Presently India is water stressed country (India-WRIS wiki 2015, Census, 2011). Being an agrarian country, agriculture sector is the largest user of India's water reserve with highest usage of 78% of total water reserve, followed by domestic sector (6%) and industrial sector (5%) (Press Information Bureau, 2013). According to National Commission on Integrated Water Resources Development (NCIWRD) the agriculture sector alone is going to require additional 71 BCM by 2025 and 250 BCM of water by 2050 as compared to the demands of 2010 (Press Information Bureau, 2013).

West Bengal is the second most densely populated state in India with a population density of 1028 persons/ sq. km just after Bihar (India Population, 2021). West Bengal as a state contributes 5.75% in India's GDP. West Bengal, as a state is at the frontrunner among all the states in the context of agricultural production. Saha and Swaminathan (1994) further reported that for the period 1981-82 to 2000-01, the exponential growth rate of all-crop production for West Bengal was 6.4% per annum. In the 2014-15 fiscal year, the state produced 9.73 percent of the national production and occupied 4.88 percent of the total land area. An key part of the West Bengal's economy is agriculture. The state's gross cropped area

Comment [E12]:rate. On the other.....

Comment [E13]: Gupta, 2014
It is missing from the Reference section.

Comment [E14]: ..national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) [....].

Comment [E15]: PLFS., 2018-19
.... Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) 2018-19

Comment [E16]: (Niti Ayog, 2019).
...(NITI Aayog Member, Prof Ramesh Chand, 2019).

Comment [E17]: Chand, 2019).
This is missing from the Reference section.

Comment [E18]: (Brown, 2003).
This is missing from the Reference section.

Comment [E19]: (Shah, 2010)
This is missing from the Reference section.

Comment [E20]: (Dhawan, 2017),
This is missing from the Reference section.

Comment [E21]: (Lal, 2014).
his is missing from the Reference section.
I appreciate that the new one citation is useful.

Comment [E22]: Presently India is water stressed country (India-WRIS wiki 2015, Census, 2011).
Now, in 2022 we cannot say....Presently....

Comment [E23]: (Press Information Bureau, 2013).
It seem to be important if the situation is similarly today.

Comment [E24]: ...71 BCM by 2025 and 250 BCM...
.....71 billion cubic metres (BCM)by 2025 and 250 BCM...

Comment [E25]: I kindly suggest to reduce the INTRODUCTION section content and to refer only to the studied topic. I appreciate that the following data are not appropriately in the context. Please review the section.

Also, many citations are not presented at the Reference section.

At the end of the section, please write the objective (s) of the present study.

was 9.6 million hectares in 2014–15, and it provided about 18.81% of the nation's GSDP in that year (Government of India, 2016).

The state was the largest producer of rice in India with an annual output of around 16.1 million tonnes in the financial year (FY) 2015-16. It is the second-largest producer of potato in the country with an average annual output of 11 million tonnes in FY 2015-16. Rice, potato, jute, sugarcane and wheat are the top five crops of the state. Other major crops include pulses, oilseeds and vegetables. The state supplies nearly 90 percent of the potato and 66 percent of the jute requirement of India. Tea is another important cash crop. West Bengal, the second largest tea-producing state in India, produced 329.3 million kg of tea in 2014-15 accounting for about 27.8 percent of the country's total tea production. In 2015-16, West Bengal produced approximately 2.38 million tonnes of sugarcane and 3.1 million tonnes of fruits. (West Bengal, 2011).

From the middle of the 1970s until the late 1980s, the state of West Bengal in India saw a spectacular rise in agricultural output, especially of summer (Boro) paddy. Two types of explanations have been given for this performance. One group has attributed this turnaround to a series of agrarian reforms, particularly in West Bengal (Adnan, 1999; Gazdar & Sengupta, 1999), while another group has claimed that much of it is related to market forces such as favourable input–output ratios, cheaper boring technology and a liberalized import policy (Harris, 1993; Palmer-Jones, 1999). Undisputed, however, has been the role of groundwater irrigation in this transformation.

Data and Methodology-

Minor and major irrigation programme plays an important role in agricultural production. The present status of irrigation and agricultural cost and irrigation water pricing system were studied in the two districts of West Bengal: Bardhaman and Nadia. The work was done for the reference period of 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17.

Bardhaman and Nadia districts were selected purposively for the present study. Source wise two different irrigation patterns were observed in the above two districts. Bardhaman is the district where surface water is used for irrigation supplemented by Shallow Tube wells (STW) and Deep Tube wells (DTW). But agriculture in Nadia district, mostly dominated by sub-surface ground water sources.

Toolsofanalysis

Concepts and Definition used

Comment [E26]: Data and Methodology-

Please respect instructions for authors.

2.MATERIALS AND METHODS

This section will include sub-sections.

Example:

2.1.Site and studied period

.....

Comment [E27]: ..of West Bengal (India): Bardhaman and Nadia.

Comment [E28]: ...periodof 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17.
Not bold.

Comment [E29]: 2.2.Toolsofanalysis - Concepts and Definition used

1. Cultural Command Area (CCA)

This is

2.Gross Irrigational Potential (GIP)

It represents.....

And so on....

Please indicate the bibliographic source for these indicators. For example, at the.....

2.2.Toolsofanalysis - Concepts and Definition used [....]

- Cultural Command Area (CCA):** It is the area which can be physically irrigated from scheme and is fit for cultivation.
- Gross Irrigational Potential (GIP):** The total gross area proposed to be irrigated during different crop seasons in a year by a scheme. The area proposed to be irrigated under more than one crop during the same year is counted as many times as number of crops are irrigated.
- Actual Area Irrigated (AAI):** the area of different crop seasons actually irrigated during the year.
- DW- Dug well, STW- Shallow tube well, DTW- Deep tube well, SF- Surface flow, SL- Surface lift.
- Depth of water table: The unit of measurement is meter below ground level (mbgl). State Water Investigation Directorate measured the water table four times in a year on hydrological points basis.
- Cropping Intensity (CI):** In both irrigated and non-irrigated situation in order to see whether the land use intensity has increased or not one have to calculate cropping intensity. For the present study it was calculated by:

$$CI = (\text{Gross cropped area} / \text{Net cropped area}) * 100$$

Where Gross Cropped Area = Sum of the area under all crops in a given agricultural year.

- Gross Cropped Area (GCA):** sum of the area under all crops in a given agricultural year.

Statistical Tools

- Simple Annual Growth Rate:** Simple growth rate was calculated as;

$SAGR (\%) = \frac{X_t - X_0}{X_0 \times n} \times 100$, Where X_t is the value of series for the last period, X_0 is the value of the series of first period and n is the number of the periods.

- Compound Annual Growth Rate:** Compound Annual Growth Rates (CAGR) of area, production and yield was computed by using the exponential growth rate function of following form:

If y_t denotes the observation (e.g. agricultural production, productivity, or area) at time t and r is the compound growth rate, model employed for estimating r is based on Eq. (1): $y_t = y_0 (1$

$$+ r)^t \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

The usual practice is to assume a multiplicative error

Comment [E30]: Statistical Tools

2.3. Statistical Analysis

2.3.1. Simple Annual Growth Rate (SAGR)(%)
The indicator was calculated after.....[.....] as....
.....
The citation, please.

2.3.2. Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR)
This has a unit measure?
The citation, please.

I appreciate that these two indicators can be describe at the Materials and Methods section. Properly, these are not statistical analysis tools.

-term $\exp(\varepsilon)$ in Eq. (1) so that the model may be linearized by means of logarithmic transformation, giving Eq. (2):

$$\ln(y_t) = A + B_t + \varepsilon \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

where, $A = \ln(y_0)$, and $B = \ln(1 + r)$. Eq. (2) is then fitted to data using “method of least squares” and goodness of fit is assessed by the coefficient of determination R^2 . Finally, the compound growth rate is estimated by Eq. (3):

$$\hat{r} = \exp(B) - 1 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

As mentioned above, this procedure is beset with many pitfalls. Firstly, let us consider the basic model, as given in Eq. (1); it is nothing but the famous Malthusian model proposed towards the end of the eighteenth century.

3. **Pearson’s Chi- square test:** A Chi-square test compares proportions actually observed in a study with the expected to establish if they are significantly different. Chi Square Test is an important nonparametric test and as such no rigid assumptions are necessary in respect of the type of population. If the calculated value of χ^2 is less than the table value at a certain level of significance, the fit is considered to be a good one which means that the divergence between the observed and expected frequencies is attributable to fluctuations of sampling. This test helps to compare variance of the population with an objective of finding out if the sample has been drawn from a normally distributed population with a specified variance. It is written by symbol χ^2 . As a non-parametric test, it can be used to determine whether data shows dependency or the two classifications are independent. The chi square statistic is defined as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

where, O_i is the observed number of cases in category i , and E_i is the expected number of cases in category i .

The Chi-square value increases as the difference between observed and expected increase. Whether the calculated Chi-square value is significant is determined by comparing it with the value from table. If the calculated value exceeds the table value, the difference between the observed and expected frequencies was taken as significant otherwise it was considered insignificant.

Result and Discussion-

Comment [E31]: This can be....
2.3.Statistical analysis
 Pearson’s Chi- square test was used.....
 Only a brief description, please.

Comment [E32]: In MATERIAL AND METHODS it appears..
 The present status of irrigation and agricultural cost and irrigation water pricing system were studied in the two districts of West Bengal: Bardhaman and Nadia. The work was done for the reference period of 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17.
In the RESULTS section are not find such data!
So, what was happened?
There are presented other data.

Comment [E33]: 3.RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Overall scenario of ground water exploitation has been shown in this section of the article. Main emphasis has been given on nexus among crop production, ground water uses and agricultural development.

According to estimates of 2011, West Bengal as a state had a total of 147.5 BCM available water, of which only 45.90 % is utilizable. Available surface water is 132.9 BCM of what only 39.95 % is utilizable (table -1).

Table 1. Availability of Water in West Bengal, India

Surface and Ground water (BCM)	Availability	Utilizable	Percentage
Surface water	132.9	53.1	39.95
Ground water	14.6	14.6	100
Total	147.5	67.7	45.90

Source: State Irrigation Department, 2011

Total water availability was about 160.35 billion cubic metre (BCM) according to the latest available data of 2015; out of which, surface water was 132.77 BCM and groundwater 27.58 BCM. While total demand was 90.54 BCM, water gap of 69.81 BCM is a positive gap, i.e., availability exceeds demand (Table— 2). Positive water gap is more in case of northern districts of West Bengal than southern districts of it.

Table 2. Water availability, water demand and water gap of West Bengal, India

District	Existing water availability during 2015 (BCM)			Water Demand (BCM)	Water Gap (BCM)
	Surface Water	Ground water	Total		
Darjeeling	20.50	0.47	20.97	2.70	18.27
Coochbehar	14.74	2.10	16.84	4.57	12.27
Alipurduar	14.90	0.00	14.90	2.25	12.65
Jalpaiguri	17.28	2.37	19.65	3.72	15.93
North Dinajpur	5.85	1.62	7.47	4.00	3.47
South Dinajpur	1.79	0.99	2.78	4.24	-1.46
Maldah	4.52	1.31	5.83	5.18	0.65
Murshidabad	5.65	2.19	7.84	8.68	-0.84
Nadia	4.92	1.99	6.91	6.53	0.38
North 24-Pgs	1.42	1.37	2.79	4.45	-1.66
Burdwan	6.31	3.06	9.37	4.94	4.43
Birbhum	4.23	1.47	5.70	5.08	0.62
Hooghly	5.24	1.50	6.74	5.95	0.79
Howrah	1.86	0.33	2.19	1.98	0.21
West Midnapur	5.90	3.39	9.29	5.80	3.49
Bankura	5.41	1.95	7.36	4.65	2.71
Purulia	5.06	0.75	5.81	2.90	2.91
East Midnapur	2.39	0.72	3.11	5.95	-2.84
South 24-pgs	4.80	0.00	4.80	6.97	-2.17

Comment [E34]: ..utilizable (Table 1).

Comment [E36]: Percentage ??

Something is not clear. Percentage of utilizable? Please specify. In fact, there is a column with...utilizable....The unit measure in this case is BCM or %.

Comment [E35]: For the Table 1, I suggest

Water types

Availability (BCM)

Utilizable (BCM)

%

Comment [E37]:The abbreviation explication should be done at its first apparition in text.

billion cubic metre (BCM)

Total	132.77	27.58	160.35	90.54	69.8
-------	--------	-------	--------	-------	------

Source- Sinha, S., Soil and water conservation in West Bengal – status, impacts, policies and programmes with impacts. In Resource Conservation in Eastern Region of India: Lead Papers of FFCSWR2019 (eds Karma, B. et al.).

After independence, population of West Bengal has increased at a compound growth rate of 23.52% whereas per capita water availability in West Bengal has shown a CAGR value of -19.04% (Table —3). There is a strong negative correlation in between population and per capita water availability, which means that with the increase in population, per capita water availability will decrease (and vice versa).

Table 3: Growth of population and declining per capita water in West Bengal

Year	Population (in crore)	Per capita water (in m ³)
1951	2.63	2574
1961	3.49	1940
1971	4.43	1528
1981	5.46	1240
1991	6.80	996
2001	8.02	844
2011	9.40	720
CAGR	23.52%	-19.04%

Data Source: Compiled from data of State Irrigation Department, 2011

As minor and medium irrigation projects require less capital, to meet the enormous irrigation water requirement the state government gave main emphasis on minor irrigation projects. As per the available data (1995-96 to 2010-2011), potential created through major and medium irrigation projects grew at a CAGR of 1.30%, and the potential created through minor and medium irrigation projects has grown at a rate of 1.96%. Irrigation potential utilized of major and medium irrigation projects declined (-.83%) during the study period, on contrary potential utilized of minor irrigation projects grown at a CAGR of 1.48%. percentage of utilization over creation is higher in case of minor irrigation projects.

Development of culturable command area and gross irrigation area by different minor irrigation schemes (both surface and ground water irrigation) in the time period of five minor irrigation censuses (1986-87 to 20013-14) has been shown. The centrally sponsored plan scheme "Rationalisation of Minor Irrigation statistics (RMIS)" was launched in 1987 with

Comment [E38]: In Materials and Methods there was not presented this indicator.

cent percent central assistance to the state/union territories. The major activity under the scheme is the All India Census of Minor Irrigation quinquennially in states covering all ground water and surface water minor irrigation schemes. The first census of minor irrigation schemes with reference year 1986-87 was conducted in the states/union territories and the report was published in November 1993. The second census with reference year 1993-94 was initiated in September 1994 and the report was published in March 2001. The 3rd census of M.I. schemes with reference year 2000- 2001 has been conducted in 33 states/union territories. The report has been released in November 2005. The 5th census of minor irrigation scheme has been conducted with reference year 2013-14. It is clear from the available data that during 5th minor irrigation census culturable command area underground water schemes (GWSs) was 2.22 times higher than surface water schemes (SWSs) and it was 2.33 times in case of gross irrigated area. Most importantly in the time period of 1986-87 to 2013-14 the growth rate of culturable command area under GWSs had a positive trend (SAGR% = 2.78) whereas it was negative (SAGR% = - 1.11) in case of SWSs. Same type of trend is seen in case of gross irrigated area. During the time period of 1986-87 to 2013-14 gross irrigated area growth under GWSs had shown a positive trend (SAGR% = 1.99), contrarily growth of gross irrigated area under SWSs had shown a negative trend (SAGR% = -0.95). Culturable command area under all minor irrigation schemes DW had shown highest growth rate (SAGR% = 14.25) during the period of 1986-2014 and it was lowest under SF (SAGR% = - 2.05). From 1st MIC to 5th MIC among all minor irrigation schemes gross irrigated area under DTW had shown the highest growth rate (SAGR% = 3.39) and DW had the lowest growth rate (SAGR% = -2.29).

Table 4: Creation and Utilization of Irrigation Potential in West Bengal (1995-1996 to 2010-2011) (In ' 000 hectare)

Year	Potential Created up to Year			Potential Utilized during year			Percentage of Utilization Over Creation		
	Major & Medium	Minor	Total	Major & Medium	Minor	Total	Major & Medium	Minor	Total
1995-96	1315.00	2989.00	4304.00	1175.96	2478.05	3654.01	89.43	82.91	84.90
1996-97	1335.54	3089.00	4424.54	1001.36	2558.05	3559.41	74.98	82.81	80.45
1997-98	1356.54	3164.00	4520.54	1216.14	2618.05	3834.19	89.65	82.74	84.82
1998-99	1394.60	3264.00	4658.60	1178.58	2700.00	3878.58	84.51	82.72	83.26
1999-00	1429.70	3364.00	4793.70	1205.86	2783.00	3988.86	84.34	82.73	83.21
2000-01	1477.95	3427.19	4941.95	1040.00	2868.00	3908.00	70.37	82.79	79.08
2001-02	1532.95	3564.00	5096.95	1033.87	2951.35	3985.22	67.44	82.81	78.19
2002-03	1549.43	3629.00	5178.43	1075.34	3006.35	4081.69	69.40	82.84	78.82
2003-04	1552.20	3699.00	5251.20	1071.86	3062.00	4133.86	69.05	82.78	78.72
2004-05	1554.71	3764.00	5318.71	1176.33	3103.04	4279.37	75.66	82.44	80.46
2005-06	1560.71	3814.00	5374.71	1160.61	3134.00	4294.61	74.36	82.17	79.90
2006-07	1566.14	3864.00	5430.14	1208.59	3167.03	4375.62	77.17	81.96	80.58
2007-08	1570.88	3930.24	5501.12	1283.85	3208.64	4492.49	81.73	81.64	81.66
2008-09	1575.88	3998.76	5574.64	1173.32	3276.96	4450.28	74.45	81.95	79.83
2009-10	1581.49	4059.79	5641.28	1117.14	3322.12	4439.26	70.64	81.83	78.69
2010-11	1596.00	3825.77	5421.77	670.00	2661.87	3331.87	42.00	69.58	70.64
CAGR%	1.30	1.96	-	-0.83	1.48	-	-	-	-
Maximum	-	-	-	-	-	-	89.65	82.91	84.90
Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-	42.00	69.58	70.64

Data Source: Bureau of Applied Economics & Statistics, Development & Planning Department, Govt. of West Bengal. The Fertiliser Association of India.

Comment [E39]: (1995-1996 to 2010-2011) ?

There is not a correspondence with the study objectives which have been written in Materials and Methods! -I have mentioned before.

There was not done any statistical analysis, as it was described in Materials and Methods.

Comment [E40]: The same observation for the other Tables.

Table 5: Development of irrigation potential between five minor irrigation censuses in West Bengal. (area in '000ha.)

Sl No	Type	C.C.A. in ha						GIA					
		1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	SAGR%	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	SAGR%
1.	DW	3.20	24.38	27.96	25.47	15.97	14.25	44.05	39.88	45.41	36.24	15.83	-2.29
2.	STW	624.50	1015.47	1169.90	1413.19	1115.19	2.81	994.47	1543.58	2002.21	2168.93	1524.66	1.91
3.	DTW	121.69	154.06	183.16	198.35	252.89	3.85	197.65	258.19	308.73	324.57	385.13	3.39
	GWS	778.18	1193.91	1381.02	1637.02	1384.05	2.78	1236.18	1841.66	2356.35	2529.74	1925.62	1.99
4.	SF	357.21	38.41	329.40	325.00	152.26	-2.05	427.72	459.04	470.68	412.11	231.11	-1.64
5.	SL	545.65	352.93	385.43	403.55	470.64	-0.49	695.18	496.68	600.16	680.73	594.41	-0.52
	SWS	902.87	734.35	714.83	728.55	622.90	-1.11	1122.91	955.72	1070.84	1092.83	825.52	-0.95

Source: Compiled from 5th Minor Irrigation census in West Bengal; WIDD, Govt. of W.B.; January, 2013-14.

Note: Figures in parenthesis indicates the percentage of net crop area.

CCA= Cultivable Command Area, GIA= Gross Irrigated Area, DW= Dug Well, STW= Shallow Tube Well, DTW= Deep Tube Well, GWS= Ground Water Source, SF= Surface Flow, SL= Surface Lift, SWS= Surface Water Source.

Table 6: Importance of irrigation and irrigated crops during 1980-81 to 2014-15.

Year	Net Cropped Area ('000 ha)	Rainfed and partially irrigated crop coverage as percentage of NCA*	Irrigated crops as percentage of Net Cropped Area						Cropping intensity (%)
			Total	Boro paddy	Wheat	Potato	Rape and Mustard	Vegetables & Other Horticultural Crops	
80-81	5508.15	112.62	26.56	6.29	5.14	2.10	2.38	10.65	139.28
90-91	5463.42	109.71	48.84	16.58	4.98	3.60	6.99	16.69	158.55
00-01	5417.38	94.49	73.79	25.88	7.86	5.53	8.05	26.47	168.28
10-11	4991.22	103.91	73.81	27.44	6.35	8.19	8.23	23.60	177.72
14-15	5,238.39	114.58	70.42	24.63	6.39	7.87	8.56	22.97	185

* Include Autumn paddy, winter paddy, Jute, Gram, Other pulses and other oilseeds, Maize, etc.

Data Source: Compiled from different Statistical abstracts published by Bureau of Applied Economics and Statistics, Govt. of West Bengal

In view of availability of fertile soil and incidence of favourable climatic conditions, West Bengal offers ample scope of growing large variety of field and horticultural crops. The total area under food grains as percentage to net crop area had increased gradually during the time of three decades (1980-81 to 2014-15) (Table 6). It is very clear that this increment was mainly due to expansion of irrigated area, which ultimately leads to the extension of crop diversification during Rabi and Summer paddy (i.e., 25.6%) whereas in distinctly of lower Indo-Gangetic basin, nearly 50% of the land is utilized for the summer paddy cultivation. The crops, which are cultivated in Rabi & Summer season, are summer paddy, Wheat, Rape & Mustard, Potato etc. From 1980-2015, the following points can be inferred that cropping intensity were increased greatly and among the irrigated crops, Summer paddy cultivation has increased almost 4 folds, area under potato has increased 4 folds, and vegetables and other horticultural crops coverage were also increased 2 folds it is because of higher and higher cropping intensity easily traced to cultivation of more short duration crops, increase in irrigated area and timely & reliable irrigation facilities, availability of water from underground water resources as policy makers emphasize more on supply side with contaminant load rather than demand side management.

To state the present irrigation scenario of West Bengal gross irrigated area and net irrigated area was considered. It is clear that during 2000-01 to 2012-13 the GIA of West Bengal has increased much higher (CAGR% = 0.68) than NIA (CAGR% = 0.14) (table 7). GIA of West Bengal was highest in the year 2012-13 and was lowest in 2000-01, whereas NIA of West Bengal was highest in the year 2004-05 and it was lowest in the year 2010-11. From the table 8, it can be said that during the year 2013-14 in West Bengal actual area irrigated by ground water sources accounted for 1694.55 thousand ha. and it was only 560.08 thousand ha. by surface water irrigation sources. In Bardhaman district the actual area irrigated by ground water sources was 220.07 thousand ha. and only 32.94 thousand ha. had been irrigated by the surface irrigation sources. The actual irrigated area irrigated by ground water sources was 86.98%, which is higher than Bardhaman and West Bengal percentage. During the year 2013-14 in West Bengal actual area irrigated by ground water sources accounted for 1694.55 thousand ha. and it was only 560.08 thousand ha. by surface water irrigation sources. In Bardhaman district the actual area irrigated by ground water sources was 220.07 thousand ha. and only 32.94 thousand ha. had been irrigated by the surface irrigation sources. The actual irrigated area irrigated by ground water sources was 86.98%, which is higher than Bardhaman and West Bengal percentage. From the above discussion in Nadia district irrigation from groundwater sources developed more than Bardhaman and

West Bengal. Nadia district irrigation from ground water sources developed more than Bardhaman and West Bengal.

Conclusion:

From the above section dealing with the development of irrigation mentioning the sources and their changes over time based on five minor irrigation censuses which gives an overall view of the same in the context of the selected Bardhaman as well as agripreneurs (traditionally dominated by surface irrigation supplemented by sub surface irrigation) development and Nadia district and the state. To find out the desired result secondary data from different public institution published reports have been examined. Ground water schemes contributes more area (both cultivable commend area and gross irrigated area) than surface water sources. Ground water schemes have shown positive growth rate whereas surface water schemes have shown negative growth rate. From the result it is seen there is huge gap between irrigation potential created and utilization. It is prominent from the result that actual area irrigated by ground water irrigation is much higher than surface water irrigation. From the analysis it can be conclude that in West Bengal Potato cultivating area has grown at highest rate followed by oilseeds. In West Bengal summer paddy cultivation mostly dependent on ground water sources and appears as synonymous to the growth and development of assured irrigation in the selected district of two microclimate situations.

References

Clark DA, Piper SC, Keeling CD et al (2003) Tropical rain forest tree growth and atmospheric carbon dynamics linked to interannual temperature variation during 1984–2000. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:5852–5857

Chatterjee R, Purohit RR. Estimation of replenishable groundwater resources of India and their status of utilization. Current science. 2009 Jun 25:1581-91.

Gleick PH. The world's water 1998-1999: the biennial report on freshwater resources. Island Press; 1998.

Wang J, Rothausen SG, Conway D, Zhang L, Xiong W, Holman IP, Li Y. China's water–energy nexus: greenhouse-gas emissions from groundwater use for agriculture. Environmental Research Letters. 2012 Mar 14;7(1):014035.

Gangwar RK, Tomar GB, Dhumale VA, Zinjarde S, Sharma RB, Datar S. Curcumin conjugated silica nanoparticles for improving bioavailability and its anticancer applications. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 2013 Oct 9;61(40):9632-7.

Comment [E41]: 4.CONCLUSION

Comment [E42]: The conclusion should be done after the major revision of the article, with a view to fit the obtained results.

Comment [E43]: Please respect Instructions for authors.
<https://journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/about/submissions>

Reference to a journal:

For Published paper:

I.Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.

Please carefully verify to exist a correspondence between the citations in text and the References. Many citations are missing.

Sankaran R, Cruz RA, Pakalapati H, Show PL, Ling TC, Chen WH, Tao Y. Recent advances in the pretreatment of microalgal and lignocellulosic biomass: A comprehensive review. *Bioresource technology*. 2020 Feb 1;298:122476.

Basu P. *Highland homecomings: Genealogy and heritage tourism in the Scottish diaspora*. Routledge; 2007 Mar 12.

Chowdhury NR, Das A, Joardar M, De A, Mridha D, Das R, Rahman MM, Roychowdhury T. Flow of arsenic between rice grain and water: Its interaction, accumulation and distribution in different fractions of cooked rice. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2020 Aug 20;731:138937.

Aayog NI. *SDG India index & dashboard 2019-20*.

Saha A, Swaminathan M. Agricultural growth in West Bengal in the 1980s: A disaggregation by districts and crops. *Economic and political Weekly*. 1994 Mar 26:A2-11.

Palmer-Jones R. Slowdown in agricultural growth in Bangladesh: neither a good description nor a description good to give. *Sonar bangla? Agricultural growth and agrarian change in West Bengal and Bangladesh*.. 1999:92-136.

UNDER PEER REVIEW