

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95083
Title of the Manuscript:	Decadal change in irrigation water utilization pattern in West Bengal with reference to Bardhaman and Nadia
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, if the author will carefully review and improve it according to the recommendations.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, if will be a correspondence between it and the article content. Please review it. Please, some obtained results are needed. No. Please pay attention to an appropriate organization. Please, more attention to this aspect.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please, there is not a correspondences between the citations in text and the Reference section.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Besides, Instructions for authors should be considered. My suggestions and recommendations are presented punctual, in detail on the manuscript.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Delian Elena
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania