

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_94959
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints and Suggestions Expressed by the Farmers Toward Kisan Call Centre (KCC) Advisories
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? No</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>Add more reference published after 2015</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Abstract: One line background of the study should be given. Methodology and findings should be written in a brief way.</b></p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Presently 1551 number not working. It should be only 18001801551. Very less citation given in introduction part. I observed majority part of introduction were taken from some sources. But source is missing. ATIC: Kindly check this project is currently working or not working. Methodology: 200 KCC beneficiaries were selected randomly with the help of proportionate stratified random sampling method : Kindly clarify how you randomly selected KCC beneficiaries. As the data only store by KCC centre of Karnataka and there is proper procedure should be taken for taking the farmers data. Are you followed it? If followed then give the details in the methodology and acknowledge them. Ex post: Kindly recheck the defination and justify how you apply this in methodology.</p> <p><b>Result and Discussion:</b> What are the independent variables in the study. You have mentioned in the methodology but not presented in the results. Please club Table 2 and 4 in single table and insert general constraints then Technical constraints.  The general constraints expressed by KCC beneficiaries are presented in Table 2. I will be table 4.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> rewrite the conclusion. A short outcome of the study should be given in the conclusion. Add implications and future scope of the study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganesh Das
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India