

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_93875
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Skill development of Students for Economic Empowerment</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Overall is ok, however some improvement needs to be done <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please clarify in details how you get the data from the interview and questionnaires as mention in your methods (The study contained both qualitative and quantitative data. Simple frequency and percentage distribution was used for statistical analysis).</li> <li>2. The skills is represented for Soft Skill. As suggestions the title can be improved to <b>Soft Skills Development of Students for Economic Empowerment</b></li> <li>3. The results obtained didn't align with the appropriate methods that has been chosen. For examples, for qualitative should have theme from the data that you have been transcripts. For quantitative must have mean or percentage or standard deviation for descriptive analysis</li> <li>4. Please find the latest references regarding to the topic</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please refer the suggestion as above	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The topic of the paper is interesting; however, the method has been used need to explain in detail. Furthermore, the results obtained and illustrated in the table is not follow the rule for data of quantitative or qualitative analysis. Please improved.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hanifah Jambari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Education, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>