

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAEES_92499
Title of the Manuscript:	Popularization of Medium Maturing Orange Fleshed Sweet Potato (OFSP) Variety (Alamura) and On Farm Evaluation of its Root Yield Performance At Halaba Zone, Weira District, SNNPR, Ethiopia
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVIEW comments	<p><b>Theme:</b> When there are works or studies on the topic raised, it ceases to be original, since it could belong to a complementary line of research; in this case, it may be original due to the area in which the study is carried out.</p> <p><b>Summary:</b> It is necessary to mention the objective of the research; the methodology allows us to see the process followed as the results obtained.</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> The UNESCO THESAURS is a universal glossary that facilitates documentation on the subject and allows the article to be better located when it is published. Agriculture – Cultivation – Vitamins ...</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> The background is clear, the problem occurs in the area to be worked on, from this sense this study could be considered as original, since the justification indicates that there are studies on this subject.</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> The environment for the research is adequately described, the selected population is ideal and their participation is justified; the controlled experimental design is appropriate and its development is consistent; the measurements made show the subsequent results to be interpreted.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> The interpretation of results is pertinent, but not the discussion where the comparison of the results with that of other studies is not reflected, nor is the method used indicated; The possible lines of research that may start from this study are not mentioned; The problems generated throughout the study, if they exist, are also not mentioned. No specialized literature is presented in this section.</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> The conclusions must be consistent with the stated objective, therefore, it is necessary to describe it. It is not recommended to repeat data or results already mentioned.</p> <p><b>Bibliographic references:</b> The references are incomplete and are very weak to the requirements of this type of work where it is requested that they be at least between 40 - 50. It is suggested to complete them, since they support the findings and the justification of the problem.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVIEW comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	the article is interesting, it presents significant data to be considered. however, the degree of similarity is high and you should take into account the recommendations given so that you can follow the publication process.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador</b>