

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_94994
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Studies of water Samples Collected from area extended between Ras Al –Halal and El Haniea
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES but can be improved by AL-Halal and `EL Hanica (Lybia) YES, can authors give the main conclusion? So and So : the general conclusion is missing The different data are usefull for science It's necessary to take in account more recent references than 2014	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors should review their writing proficiency, it's necessary to improve the English language	
Optional/General comments	This study should be improved by better language particularly in materials and methodology. A short discussion showing the correlation of the different parameters must be added. The last but not the least, a general conclusion is necessary to give the main observations of the paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aminou Taofiki
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin