

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_95011
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO REGENERATION OF POTATO (Solanum tuberosum L.) USING SPROUT EXPLANT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The scientific research is very well done, but I have some reservations about its presentation:</p> <p>1. In the abstract, a conclusion (the second sentence) is indicated, which should be removed from the text.</p> <p>2. To reduce the volume of text on the application of potatoes in the literature review.</p> <p>3. The last part of the introduction should be given in material and methods.</p> <p>4. The cited literature reference in Results and Discussion should be moved to the introduction.</p> <p>5. To describe the received data in more detail. Also include visual observations, if any.</p> <p>6. The cited literary sources in the text are missing from the indicated literature.</p> <p>7. If possible, include at least 2 literary sources that have worked on the topic in the last 2-3 years.</p> <p>After making a correction, I recommend that the research done be published.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Stanislava Stateva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute Plant Genetic Resources, Bulgaria</b>