

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAAR_92036 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | REVIEW ON TRADITIONAL COW MILK PRESERVATION METHOD AND MARKETING PRACTICE IN ETHIOPIA |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Technical quality and the experiment proposed by the authors are very-well conducted and can be a good start for industrial application. Present new findings, concepts and theories. | Provided was very good but the references used were inadequate and non-modern. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | The Review Article provided enough details for the general reader. | In general the paper easy to follow and it has a logical flow and the language of the work is concise, logical and accurate. | |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript is novel and an advancement of the field and this manuscript acceptable for publishing. Basically, this is a very deep research report, and it is excellent for the publication. | The paper easy to follow and it has a logical flow and the language of the work is concise, logical and accurate. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Mona Abd El- Kader Mohamed Abd El- Gawad |
| Department, University & Country | National Research Centre, Egypt |