

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_91116
Title of the Manuscript:	Aetiology and clinical significance of thrombocytopenia in critically ill COVID-19 patients: A single centre study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalahri.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Discussion; paragraph 2; line 5-6: [as per comment] Discussion; paragraph 2; line 6: [as per comment] Discussion; paragraph 5; line 3-4-5: [as per comment]	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract; Introduction; paragraph 1; line 3: English language issue Abstract; Introduction; paragraph 1; line 6: English language issue Abstract; Aims; paragraph 1; line 1: English language issue Discussion; paragraph 5; line 1: English language issue	
Optional/General comments	Discussion; paragraph 3; line 3-4: [as per comment] Despite the need for some major and minor revisions, the study focuses on a crucial point in the management of COVID-19 which is its hematological complications, that sometimes can drastically worsen prognosis. Thrombocytopenia is the most common complication and its aetiology is difficult to identify being multifactorial in the majority of cases. This study boasts a great number of patients, thus producing valuable evidence for this field. However, it would be interesting if the authors could share their experience in the treatment of thrombocytopenia and its complications in this setting, as it would be a precious support to the community.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riccardo Tomasello
Department, University & Country	Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy