

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Food Science Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AFSJ_95136</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Natural Antioxidants on Quality and Keeping Quality of Suya from Mutton Subjected to Different Processing Methods</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ This article was well written and provided fascinating data about increasing the quality of Suya from mutton by examining the influence of natural antioxidants on nutrients and maintaining the quality of Suya from mutton subjected to different processing techniques.</li> <li>❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article</li> <li>❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand.</li> <li>❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization.</li> <li>❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding.</li> <li>❖ The references are sufficient and recent and have been cited and compared correctly.</li> <li>❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color.</li> <li>❖ Many of the words outlined in yellow should be deleted.</li> <li>❖ The numbers from 1-9 are written as a number</li> <li>❖ Please re-arrange the keywords alphabetically.</li> <li>❖ The full name is written and followed by an abbreviation for the first time, then whenever it comes, it is written in the form of an abbreviation.</li> <li>❖ In the last paragraph of the discussion, reference was made to the inhibitory effect of antioxidants on <i>Escherichia coli</i> and this is very important from a public health point of view. However, there are many types of this bacteria that represent a great threat to human health even if they are present in small numbers. For example, <i>E. coli O157H7</i> do researchers indicate the inhibitory effect of antioxidants on such dangerous foodborne pathogens?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ Adding line numbers may be useful for reviewing.</li> <li>❖ Yes, the language of the article is suitable and easy to read and understand. However, grammar: need Some revision along the manuscript.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>❖ <b>In general</b>, the paper is well written, and the manuscript is relevant and informative.</li> <li>❖ <b>Title:</b> the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article</li> <li>❖ <b>Abstract:</b> The abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand.</li> <li>❖ <b>Introduction:</b> The authors provide sufficient context on the subject.</li> <li>❖ <b>Tables:</b> Clear and comprehensible</li> <li>❖ <b>Conclusion:</b> The research offers sample data for the authors to draw conclusions from.</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq</b>