

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Food Science Journal  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AFSJ_95136   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Natural Antioxidants on Quality and Keeping Quality of Suya from Mutton Subjected to Different Processing Methods |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <b>The manuscript is needed in the scientific community in that</b> natural antioxidants reduced the microbial loads of the samples, thereby improving the quality and shelf-life but storage of the <i>Suya</i> product could be deleterious.<br><br><b>TITLE IS ALRIGHT</b><br><br><b>YES</b> introduction including statement of problem, justification and objectives should be added<br><b>NO</b><br><b>YES</b><br><br><b>YES</b> |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | GOOD QUALITY IN ENGLISH  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Always state the scientific names of botanicals  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Bello Olunike Adetunji                   |
| Department, University & Country | Osun State College of Education, Nigeria |