

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_95212
Title of the Manuscript:	Parenteral Hydrocortisone treatment in a COVID-19 patient with Respiration Distress –a case report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is well-established proven fact that Hydrocortisone helpful in respiratory illness. More specific insight required and discover things which not ever noticed.</p> <p>“Clinical impact of Hydrocortisone uses on Patient with COVID-19” would be better.</p> <p>It is very basic.</p> <p>For a case presentation, yes, it is.</p> <p>A couple of more reference (10-15) would be better.</p> <p>In my opinion, it is very common topic and more importantly know fact. Find something which interest more and never mentioned before or discover.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Need to be professionally checked.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Author has done good job. Giving continuity will certainly improve thinking and more precise insight that would benefit the whole world.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushil Kumar Sah
Department, University & Country	Wuhan University, China