

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_95134 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | WOMEN AND LAND IN ZAMBIA: LEGAL AND CUSTOMARY CHALLENGES TO ACCESS AND CONTROL OVER LAND |
| Type of the Article | ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>We find the manuscript to be important for scientific community. Concerning the value to the readership, the presented aspects are of international use as they are from the field sociology, anthropology and culture depicting dynamics of gender roles. The results of the article show that provisions of gender equity measures in policies are important steps towards gender equality and should be encouraged all the time.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable, and the structure of the article is appropriate and logical.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive; it covers the principal aspects of the article in an understandable way.</p> <p>We strongly believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct; the authors of the article adhered to the principles of scientific work and methodology.</p> <p>On the subject of the relevance of the presented data, it is vital to emphasize that the article relates to other works on the investigated topic. Moreover, the authors provide recent sources within the REFERENCES section (there are sources cited within the span of 2011 – 2021).</p> <p>We suggest the subsequent improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - within the CONCLUSION section, to provide the reader with the limitations of the study and future research perspectives. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>Yes, the English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The principal advantage of the study is that it demonstrates that the post-colonial gender discriminatory practices have permeated the policy frameworks, legal frameworks and traditional social norms and practices and continue producing efforts which seemingly look like solutions to the problem of women's access to and control over land but are in reality the conduits of gender discrimination in land control in the Zambian society. The research results were obtained thanks to the content analysis of literature on the topic; the research procedure is clearly explained and the research results are illustrated with the help of 1 Table.</p> <p>Overall, the investigated topic is current, suitable for publishing.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | Marta Lacková |
| Department, University & Country | University of Žilina, Slovakia |