

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80632
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Intra and Postoperative Outcomes between 3-D Plates versus 2.0 mm Miniplates in Displaced Mandibular Angle Fractures
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The sentence: 'Certain structural and functional peculiarities thinner compact plate, shape changes during life and frequent impacted or partially erupted teeth, bilateral muscle cover ' seems to be copied directly from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3304215/ please modify - The sentence 'Various treatment modalities for angle fracture have been tried, ranging from single non-compression miniplates, lagscrew, two miniplates, one compression-type locking miniplates and a 3-D rectangular matrix fracture plate with varying levels of success' is copied from : https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3699239/ please modify - Graphs should be unified in style and colour - Conclusion should be more detailed 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the ethical declaration, there is no need to mention departmental approval. Ethical approval provided is enough - In the abstract the authors mention: 3-D plating system has advantages, please state the advantage to be more specific 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hossein M. Elbadawy
Department, University & Country	Taibah University, Saudi Arabia