

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_96705
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on integrated traffic-sharing platforms based on blockchain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. Yes</p> <p>The abstract is well structured. I presumed the author(s) has followed the journal template</p> <p>Fair Not really. There are no methodologies, results/findings and discussion sections. Is this a literature review article? If yes, the author(s) need to state clearly if this is a literature review article and structure their articles to reflect a systematic literature review approach.</p> <p>No, the references are inadequate. Most of the sections (e.g. sections 3.1, 3.3, 3.4 e.t.c) where not referenced. The author(s) made a lot of claims but did not referenced. For example in section 3.1, the author made the claim below without reference "Of all the industries in the country, the catering industry has the largest number" Similarly, in Section 3.3 the author(s) did not provide a reference for the claim below. "In addition, frequent major food incidents have affected the public's trust in food safety and greatly affected the healthy development of China's catering industry"</p> <p>NB: I strongly suggest that the author(s) should go through the entire manuscript and make appropriate reference wherever applicable</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Fair but can be improved	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lizzy Oluwatoyin Ofusori
Department, University & Country	University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa