

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_96388
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Cyberloafing and Academic Burnout in University Students During The COVID-19 Pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? IT IS A GREAT CONTRIBUTION TO THE SCIENCES OF EDUCATION, A VERY GOOD CONTRIBUTION.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article appropriate? THE TITLE IS VERY REFERENT TO WHAT IS EXPERIENCED IN EDUCATION AT ALL LEVELS WORLDWIDE</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article complete? IF YOU COMPLY WITH DISCLOSURE THE STRUCTURE OF THE ARTICLE AND ITS CONTENT</p> <p>4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? IT IS VERY WELL STRUCTURED AND WITH ITS SUBDIVISIONS APPROPRIATE TO THE THEME THAT COMPLEMENT THIS TEXT.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? IT IS A CONTRIBUTION TO THE SCIENCES OF EDUCATION</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have any suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF AUTHORS ARE SUFFICIENT, YOU MUST ONLY CORRECT ACCORDING TO APA STANDARDS.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	YOU MUST ONLY CORRECT ACCORDING TO APA STANDARDS.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Manuel Salum Tome
Department, University & Country	Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile