

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_96369
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Learning of University Students Majoring in Mathematics in China
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1-yes-This article is the manuscript important for scientific community 2- yes- the title of the article is suitable. 3- yes- the abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4- yes- subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5- yes- the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6-yes- The number of references is few.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes- language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications However, abbreviated terms need explanation.	
Optional/General comments	The research in the article is not clearly explained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashrafoalsadat Shekarbaghani
Department, University & Country	Research Institute of Education Studies, Iran