



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>If the parts underlined with yellow are removed or modified, ok. Because, indeed, the abstract is too long and there are 2 more keywords necessary. Point 2 is named Methodology and to highlight that the method was the questionnaire, mainly. If it's possible, in the Conclusions part, write 2-3 lines of the importance of focusing on students majoring in mathematics, both for the research and for the economy in general. But, the References are written inconsistent with the writing rules.. The authors have to be arranged in the alphabetical order of the last names of the authors, immediately after into brackets the year of publishing and the rest of information.</p>	<p>The abstract part of the paper is shortened.</p> <p>Point 2 is named Methodology.</p> <p>In the Conclusions part, we wrote the importance of focusing on students majoring in mathematics.</p> <p>We checked the reference style again and corrected some mistakes.</p>