

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_96271
Title of the Manuscript:	CAPITAL FLIGHT AND EXCHANGE RATE POLICY IN COVID-19 CONTEXT: WHAT ARE THE EXPLANATORY FACTORS IN THE FRANC ZONE AND NON-FRANC ZONE COUNTRIES?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for scientific community as it deals with economic implication of capital flight. It is essential to learn ways to curb these factors so as to have stable economy. 2. The title is suitable but emphasis should be drawn on the effect of COVID 19 by comparing it with the year under study. (1984 -2018) 3. The abstract is not comprehensive. There should be report in figures that will buttress the assertion. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. The References are sufficient and recent 7. The References should follow approved format	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The English is suitable	
Optional/General comments	Explanatory and well-articulated	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omolola Madoh Gegeleso
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria