

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_96271
Title of the Manuscript:	CAPITAL FLIGHT AND EXCHANGE RATE POLICY IN COVID-19 CONTEXT: WHAT ARE THE EXPLANATORY FACTORS IN THE FRANC ZONE AND NON-FRANC ZONE COUNTRIES?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript and its title are essential. 2. The abstract part is appropriate and straightforward. 3. The references and citations are sufficient, recent, and in order. 4. The introduction and literature review are preferable to be combined in one part. 5. The research pages need to be at most 15 pages or less than that to attract more readers. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The researcher must rewrite the whole manuscript, and the following points should be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The blue, bold, and underlined sentences (see the original paper) are wordy. ✓ The green, bold, and underlined sentences (see the original paper) are hard to read. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research pages need to be at most 15 pages or less than that to attract more readers.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abubakar El-Sidig Ali A Mahdi
Department, University & Country	Al-Buraimi University College, Oman