

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_96271
Title of the Manuscript:	CAPITAL FLIGHT AND EXCHANGE RATE POLICY IN COVID-19 CONTEXT: WHAT ARE THE EXPLANATORY FACTORS IN THE FRANC ZONE AND NON-FRANC ZONE COUNTRIES?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the explanatory factors that influence the capital flight and crucial parameters of exchange rate policy, especially with reference to Covid-19 situational effect. A comparative and extensive analysis is carried out in Franc zone and Non-Franc zone countries to bring out meaningful insights to the stakeholders. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes 7. Include footnotes 1,2,3,4 as they are missing in pages 5, 6 and 8. 8. Under 3.1, 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph, give expansion for variables in model (2) similar to model (1) 9. Under 3.2, change error correction model number from (3) to (4) as (3) is already specified	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. Yes 2. Under 1, 5th paragraph, 8th sentence, replace the word 'shown' to 'shows' 3. Under 3.2, 2 <sup>nd</sup> paragraph, 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence, change the alignment	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	The article is comprehensive covering the pertinent details of study topic. It can be accepted for publication after making the above said minor corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Jaya Bharathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Coimbatore Institute of Engineering and Technology, India</b>