

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_95727
Title of the Manuscript:	FROM MIDDLE POWER'S THEORY TO REALITY AND PROSPECT OF VIET NAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajssse.com/index.php/SAJSSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I mentioned some spelling mistake in article which attached .On factors for dividing countries authors mentioned three factor which neglected cyber power which these days is one of the most important factor which is more important than population and other factors like economy and army depend to this factor .Sources is not mention properly in the article sources must be mention by number and use them in article .and in the final what is aim of this article reader need more reasonable factors for dividing countries as middle power .	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality must be improve.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Seyed Agha Banihashemi
Department, University & Country	School of International Relations of Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Iran