

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_95513
Title of the Manuscript:	Valuers' Perception on Mitigating Material Uncertainty in Property Valuation
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Y	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Y	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Y	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Y	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	N – It's not clear what the score range was. The covariance matrix for calculating eigenvalues and the method of calculation are not represented. It's not clear how the calculated eigenvalues are connected to the conclusions of the article.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Y	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Y	
Optional/General comments	Principal Component Analysis and eigenvalues are usually used for higher number of factors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pavel Levin
Department, University & Country	St. John's University, USA