

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_96951
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Cefoxitin Disc Diffusion and Chromogenic agar in the detection of Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes, no suggestions. The manuscript highkughys one of the major issues being faced presently, antibiotic resistance. The results are good and I have few suggestions for the authors. 1. Remove laboratory name and address from abstract. Add in methods. 2. Give absorbance range and wavelength used for standardisation of bacterial culture for antimicrobial analysis. 3. Remove bold from text, apart from headings. 4. I think, gel electrophoresis is a very common technique, so authors can use concise methodology there. No need of details. 5. The authors need to reframe their tables for a layman to be able to understand the findings clearly. Keep the test on one axis and results on the other. Having tests as both row and column is a bit tricky to catch. 6. Provide representative images of disc diffusion test.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pragati Jamdagni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>DCRUST, India</b>