

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_96607
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial Quality Assessment, Proximate, Vitamins, and Elemental Analysis of Fermented Milk Vended in Gombe Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes, the title is according to research.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is clear.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Information about regulation for example: Codex Alimentarius</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript requires minor revision.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Sample Collection Where the laboratory is located? Place temperature of sterile packed tubes It is place, the treatment of samples in the laboratory.</p> <p>Statistical Analysis What statistical program was used? How many observations were made?</p> <p>Results Table 1: Results of the Physiochemical Parameters What does NTU mean? place in section "Key" Place the acid, with which acidity was expressed How many observations were made? (In all the tables, how many observations were made in the investigation)</p> <p>Table 4: Proximate Composition of Fermented Milk The units are not correct (% or g/100mL). The proximal composition expressed with percentage or g/100 mL</p> <p>Moisture I consider that is "water", moisture relation with powdered products. Ex: powdered milk</p> <p>Discussion These results could be compared with some regulation. Ex: Codex Alimentarius</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	The discussion of moisture is not clear. Are liquid or powder samples? In the methodology, it is understood that research is developed with liquid samples	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Belen Quelal Tapia
Department, University & Country	Santa Catalina Research Site (INIAP), Ecuador