

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_96015
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antibigram of <i>Rothia mucilaginosa</i> and <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp Isolated from Oral Cavity of Students in a Tertiary Institution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The author should highlight the study's importance. Bacteremia and systemic infection caused by <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>, <i>Rothia mucilaginosa</i>, and <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> are uncommon. The author should add the prevalence of systemic infection caused by these bacteria. The author should state these bacteria in the same order throughout the article.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is</p> <p>3. The author should improve the abstract section (discussion and conclusion section also). The background of study: 'Rothia mucilaginosa and Staphylococcus spp has been the cause of severe bacteremia and sore in immunocompromised patients', whereas the conclusion: ". From what result this conclusion (Proper hygiene condition of the oral cavity should be encouraged and indiscriminate use of antibiotics should be avoided) came?</p> <p>4. Yes, it is</p> <p>5. Yes, it is</p> <p>7. Only 8/37 references publish last ten years. This reference is suggested to support the evidence. Maraki S, Papadakis IS. Rothia mucilaginosa pneumonia: a literature review. Infect Dis (Lond). 2015 Mar;47(3):125-9. doi: 10.3109/00365548.2014.980843. PMID: 25664502. Rigauts C, Aizawa J, Taylor SL, Rogers GB, Govaerts M, Cos P, Ostyn L, Sims S, Vandeplassche E, Sze M, Dondelinger Y, Vereecke L, Van Acker H, Simpson JL, Burr L, Willems A, Tunney MM, Cigana C, Bragonzi A, Coenye T, Crabbé A. Rothia mucilaginosa is an anti-inflammatory bacterium in the respiratory tract of patients with chronic lung disease. Eur Respir J. 2022 May 5;59(5):2101293. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01293-2021. PMID: 34588194; PMCID: PMC9068977. Robertson RD, Panigrahi A, Cheema R. Rothia mucilaginosa bacteremia, meningitis leading to diffuse cerebritis in an adolescent patient undergoing acute myeloid leukemia chemotherapy causing significant morbidity. SAGE Open Med Case Rep. 2021 Dec</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The author should proofread the article to a native speaker.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fauna Herawati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia</b>