

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_95706
Title of the Manuscript:	Biofilm Production in Borehole Water Sources and their Susceptibility to Antibiotics and Antibiofilm effect of Noni Foliar extracts
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Ans: Yes.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Ans: Looks good to me.</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Ans: Yes.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Ans: Yes.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Ans: Yes.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form Ans: Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For detail information can Author(s) refer manuscript for the comments?</p> <p>1) Any specific reason as of why Author(s) used no spacing in the beginning and rest of manuscript as normal?</p> <p>2) Can Author(s) use space after comma?</p> <p>3) Can Author(s) centre all tables?</p> <p>Few are in different format and others are in different format.</p> <p>4) Can Author(s) make all reference as same format? This reference has url but other references doesn't.</p> <p>5) Can Author(s) remove spaces in the references?</p> <p>6) Can Author(s) use justify for all references? Some reference are in one format and others are different format</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manu Mitra
Department, University & Country	University of Bridgeport, USA