

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_95680
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Salmonella in Seafoods And Its Resistance to Drugs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it is important Yes, the title is suitable Yes, the abstract is comprehensive enough The sub-sections are adequate Yes, the manuscript is relevant scientifically Yes, the references are sufficient. Although, I suggest that more recent references be added to the manuscript please	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is sufficient. I recommend that the introduction should be more detailed as explained in the review comments in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is an addition to knowledge. It is worthy of scientific publication after minor corrections are effected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Setufe Seyramsarah Blossom
Department, University & Country	University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana