

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_96780
Title of the Manuscript:	Incorporation of glass from used photovoltaic solar modules into the concrete matrix of construction as a partial replacement of cement in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>(1) Yes, the manuscript can be useful for scientific society. Initially, the authors are advised to define conditions for future research scope remarks at the end of the abstract section.</p> <p>(2) Title can be modified.</p> <p>(3) It's looks like that abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>(4) The work is fair but the paper needs to be structured properly.</p> <p>(5) Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>(6) Some more literature can be added in introduction to support the work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>After considering the subject, this work entails some new information of review and could be a merit for publication.</p> <p>English (grammar, style, sentence structure) need to be revised in some sentences of manuscript after careful reading.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Add some latest work in the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivendra Nandan
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology, India