

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_96077
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Compact Stars with Buchdahl Potential in 5-D Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet Gravity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1.yes. A vector space can be equipped with more than one <math>n</math>-norm. In this paper, the necessary and sufficient conditions for <math>n</math>-norms to be completely equivalent on linear <math>n</math>-Banach spaces are obtained.</p> <p>2.yes 3.No. 4.yes 5.yes 6.No. Need to supplement references in recent five years</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. My first and primary concern lies in the novelty of this work, as I feel that the novelty issue has not been sufficiently highlighted in the current version. An important question shall be answered: does this work fill up some knowledge gaps which previous articles cannot address?</p> <p>2. Please describe in detail what contributions this article has made, and it is suggested to add it to the back of the introduction.</p> <p>3. The structure of the article is incomplete, please supplement the conclusion</p> <p>4. Please divide the conclusions in detail and do not list them in a disorderly way</p> <p>5. The literature review is not comprehensive, please add the literature of the past five years.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Miao Yu
Department, University & Country	School of Mechanical and Vehicle Engineering, Beijing University of Civil Engineering and Architecture, China