

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_95853
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND MICROBIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF SOIL AND EFFLUENT CONTAMINATED WITH AZO-DYE IN ITOKU, ABEOKUTA OGUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	With regret, I must inform you that I have decided that this manuscript can be accepted for publication Microbiology Research Journal International after a minor revision in the references In mu opinion, the paper looks as quite complete. This work is excellent and scientific. The experimental work is qualified and references are new and updated. I would be grateful if authors could try to complete my recommendations. Finely, from my refree point of view, the manuscript can be accepted after a minor revision	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tarek A. Ali El-Barbary
Department, University & Country	Central Metallurgical R&D Institute, Egypt