

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_95853
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND MICROBIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF SOIL AND EFFLUENT CONTAMINATED WITH AZO-DYE IN ITOKU, ABEOKUTA OGUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it shows that this dye is harming our soil.</p> <p>Yes, it is okay</p> <p>The Abstract needs rewriting and I have rewritten it- but the author needs to revise it a lot.</p> <p>The Discussion is missing but some journals allow a combined discussion and results so that is up to the journal. If the journal requires these to be separate, she would need to revise it. Otherwise, it is okay.</p> <p>Yes, I think that the research looks sound.</p> <p>The references look okay</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I have edited the article and sent it along with this. The Author does not need to make all of my changes, but they can use all changes that they wish too. However, they do need to rewrite the Abstract and should check my changes throughout as I have provided changes to improve the English.	
Optional/General comments	I think that this is a scientifically sound paper with an important message that this dye is significantly altering soil to the point that it may become unusable in the future.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucinda Choules
Department, University & Country	SFASU, United States