

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_96538
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges Faced by Healthcare Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Prayagraj District of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1)The manuscript is highly relevant to the Indian healthcare system, and internationally, as well. Significant background information is provided in the introduction to show the magnitude of the issue. The census method needs to be further described as the census sampling method. This does not appear to be a qualitative study, but rather a survey-based quantitative study. Based on methodology description, it does not seem that the survey had open-ended questions to consider it a qualitative or mixed-methods design. In the subsection labeled "Practice" it addresses respondents answering questions as correctly versus incorrectly, which points to a quantitative study. Furthermore, one-way analysis of variance, which is a quantitative test is addressed in the subsection "Recommendations". The results have important implications for the Indian healthcare system. 2) "India" should be included in the title as international readers may not be familiar with different areas of India. 3)The abstract of the article is succinct, yet provides relevant information. 4) The recommendations only belong in the discussion section. 5) as mentioned above in part 1, the manuscript would be scientifically correct if the research method was identified as quantitative 6) references are sufficient and fairly current (as expected given that the COVID-19 pandemic is recent)	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some changes to correct English language need to be implemented.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihaela Zegrean
Department, University & Country	School of Nursing, Concordia University Ann Arbor, United States of America