

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_96443
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring and validating performance measurement domains of community pharmacists using structural equation modeling: implications and recommendations for research
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. This study shows the importance of structural equation modeling in pharmacy research. The study empirically tested the model fit, construct, and invariance validity of the theoretical questionnaire of self-reported performance of community pharmacists. 2. Suggested Title: Exploring and validating performance measurement domains of community pharmacists using Structural Equation Modeling. 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Refer to table 1: Factor loading for PMS4 & PMS3 are below 0.70. However, author indicated PMS6 factor loading was below 0.70. Kindly make amendment on the sentence. 6. Yes, sufficient and appropriate.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes, with some minor amendments are required.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lee Bee Yoke
Department, University & Country	Tunku Abdul Rahman University of Management and Technology, Malaysia