

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_96399</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Bio efficacy of microbial formulations of Beauveria bassiana and SINPV against Spodoptera litura F. and Aphis craccivora Koch. in vegetable cowpea</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is important.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Title is suitable.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Abstract is comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>6. a) The reference Dhandapani et al, 1993 given in the manuscript finds no mention in the References section.</p> <p>b) The publication year of the reference article mentioned as CABI, 2022 is given as 2014 according to the hyperlink given in the Reference section.</p> <p>c) The reference Armes et al, 1999 mentioned in the manuscript is given the year 1995 in the Reference section. However, the publication year of the reference article is given as 1997 in websites like ResearchGate, Semanti Scholar etc.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor review necessary on missing word(s), punctuations. (Track changed in the reviewed manuscript)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ngangom Nonglen Meetei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>