

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_96434 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Comparative Pharmaceutico-Analytical Study of Saindavadi Ghrita Prepared with Murchchhit and Amurchchhit Ghrita and Evaluation of Its Antiepileptic Efficacy in Swiss Albino Mice – A Study Protocol |
| Type of the Article | Study Protocol |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community because a. The research work is aimed at addressing a better therapy for epilepsy. At the same time, a treatment which would be better tolerated. b. The presently available medications have limitations like a lack of versatility. Some patients may not respond and some may not respond completely. c. Adverse effects are expected with the available medications and using them in pregnancy can be potentially harmful for the growing foetus. 2. The title is suitable. 3. The abstract is comprehensive. 4. The manuscript looks appropriate. 5. My suggestion to the researchers would be to have a standard group administered the standard anti-epileptic drug which is prescribed in Allopathic Medicine. For e.g., Sodium Valproate. 6. A few more references with respect to the test compounds would have been nice. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language has been used appropriately in the provided manuscript. | |
| Optional/General comments | The research question seems to be appropriate and scientifically apt. As I mentioned earlier, the researchers can think of having another standard group administered with the conventional allopathic anti-epileptic medication. This would give a comparison to the standard allopathic medication also. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Ravi Shankar M |
| Department, University & Country | Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences, A Constituent Institution of Adichunchanagiri University, India |