

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_96434
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Pharmaceutico-Analytical Study of Saindavadhi Ghrita Prepared with Murchchhit and Amurchchhit Ghrita and Evaluation of Its Antiepileptic Efficacy in Swiss Albino Mice – A Study Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1) This study is quite important with the respect of human health and pharmaceutical dosage forms. 2) the title of the article is suitable 3) Abstract should be organised one more time containing the results of the study clearly 4) Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5) I think the manuscript is scientifically correct 6) The references are sufficient and recent but; It would be nice to mention the following study among the literature of the article; Standardization of Saindhavadi Ghrita: A Herbal Ghrita for Computer Vision Syndrome Vipul Shukla and B. Mukhopadhyay International Journal of Ayurveda and Pharma Research, 9(6), 44-48. 2021	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Llanguage/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	There is no problem about the analytical study. But, The article needs to be examined from a pharmacological point of view.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Feyyaz Onur
Department, University & Country	Lokman Hekim University, Turkey