

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

**Association of discharge diagnosis with initial clinical findings**

**Abstract**

The objective is to investigate the matching between emergency department and discharge diagnosis as well as how investigations affect accurately of emergency department diagnosis. The study was conducted retrospectively over a six-month period. Setting: The ER Department at KSMC, including four in-patient specialties: orthopedics, surgery, and medicine. Subjects: All patients that entered the emergency room during the study period. The correlation degree of hospital discharge diagnosis and admission diagnosis serve as the primary outcome markers. Results: 72% of diagnoses at admission completely or partially matched diagnoses at discharge. Young people, and traumatized cases had significantly superior diagnosis accuracy, according to data. The diagnostic accuracy was impacted by the specialization department and the investigations done. In conclusion, the physical examination and history are still the two most important diagnostic techniques employed in the emergency room. Simple tests available in the ER were frequently ineffective at improving diagnostic accuracy.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

## 17 **Introduction**

18 There are significant clinical, monetary, and legal ramifications in the event of a discrepancy,  
19 making it challenging to maintain a high accuracy rate between admission and discharge  
20 diagnosis. One of the primary consequences of inconsistent diagnosis is the prolongation of  
21 hospital stays (Johnson et al., 2009). The process of diagnosis entails identifying the illness that  
22 is the source of a patient's symptoms and warning signs. Investigations, the physical  
23 examination, and the history all play a significant role in making a successful initial diagnosis.  
24 Investigations were frequently conducted throughout the procedure. It enables the medical  
25 professional to select the optimal treatment option and prognosis. The diagnosis determined at  
26 the admission time forms the basis of the doctors' initial treatment plan, which also explains why  
27 some patients receive several types of care throughout their hospital stay. When a different  
28 diagnosis is made while the patient is in the hospital, it could result in complaints from the  
29 patient and legal action. (Leape et al., 1991).

30 Inadequate investigations and administrative mistakes are among the factors contributed to the  
31 diagnosis being insufficient at admission time (Chiu et al., 2003). The initial diagnosis for a  
32 patient admitted from ED is frequently made based on the patient's entry presentation, clinical  
33 examination, and laboratory investigations. Additionally, the original diagnosis might not match  
34 the one offered by the doctors who admitted the patient to the ward. As a result, the diagnosis  
35 could change while the patient is in the hospital, especially in complicated circumstances,  
36 leading to a new discharge diagnosis (McNutt et al., 2012).

37 13% of patients admitted from the ED to inpatient care in GH Lim et al., 2003 study exhibited  
38 discrepant (unmatched) diagnosis (Lim et al., 2002). According to a different study, orthopedic  
39 patients, diagnosis accuracy was 76%, and for surgical patients, it was 90.3%. (Chiu et al.,  
40 2003). According to Pakistani retrospective study which was conducted in a tertiary hospital,  
41 mismatched diagnoses made in medicine department through emergency department was 41% in  
42 1995, 37% in 2000, and 14% in 2007 (Shahid et al., 2012).

43 The goal of our study was to identify diagnostic discrepancies frequency between hospital  
44 discharge and emergency department diagnoses. Additionally, we sought to understand the  
45 patient and diagnostic characteristics that lead to increased rate of diagnostic mismatch same as  
46 the impact of various investigation types on the accuracy of the diagnosis.

47 **Method**

48 March through August in 2021 were the six randomly selected months. Retrospective computer  
49 data of every patient admitted from the KSMC's ER department to the medical, surgical, and  
50 orthopedic departments were examined. This review covered the months of March 2021 to May  
51 2021.

52 It was investigated how specific the ED doctors' provisional diagnoses were. A diagnosis was  
53 considered to be precise if it identified a specific disease process affecting one or more distinct  
54 organ or structure. For instance, chest discomfort was not thought to be particular although  
55 peritonitis was. This concept took into account the ED's operational constraints. For instance,  
56 determining whether upper gastrointestinal bleeding is coming from the oesophagus, stomach, or  
57 duodenum may be impossible for ED clinicians. The degree of agreement between the  
58 emergency department diagnosis and discharge diagnosis was used to gauge accuracy.

59 Full match meant that the discharge diagnosis agreed with the ED diagnosis, regardless of  
60 whether it was specific or not. When the ED diagnosis and the discharge diagnosis were only  
61 partially in agreement, this is known as a "partial match." For example, when an acute  
62 appendicitis emergency department diagnosis partially matched a discharge diagnosis of caecal  
63 diverticulitis, (More information will be given in the section on the results). The authors  
64 considered every possible "partial match" scenario before coming to their conclusions. When  
65 there was no connection between the admission diagnoses and discharge one, it categorized as  
66 "unmatch."

67 The impacts of age, sex, medical specialization, and the type of ED examination (including X-  
68 ray, blood tests, urinalysis with reagent strips, electrocardiography, and ultrasonography) on the  
69 accuracy and level of diagnostic matching were evaluated. Version 24 of SPSS was used to  
70 process and analyze the data. For assessing hypotheses, the chi-squared test and t test were used.

71 **Results**

72 The study had 2278 admissions in total. Ages ranged from under a year to 102 years (mean 49,  
73 median 57). The diagnostic accuracy was shown to be considerably higher in the younger age  
74 group when the adult patients were divided into groups by age (18-63 years versus 64 years or  
75 above, p value less than 0.001). The ratio of men to women was 1.38:1. Males were much  
76 younger than females in terms of age (female mean age 53, male mean age 46, p value less than  
77 0.001). Significantly improved specificity and matching were linked to male sex (p value less  
78 than 0.001).

79 The medical department was visited by the majority (52.9%). The remainder were admissions to  
80 the surgical department (18%), and orthopedic department (12.7%) and rest department was  
81 excluded. 54.2% of cases were classified as urgent (Category 3), while 36.4% were less urgent  
82 (Category 4). Only 10.4% were due to trauma. Among the diagnoses given upon ED admission,  
83 67.7% were specific, and 71.6% were a complete or some match with the diagnoses given at  
84 discharge. Due to our inherent constraints, ED doctors were unable to make exact diagnoses,  
85 hence they were considered to be only matched partially with the final diagnoses. For instance,  
86 even though an intestinal obstruction could be clearly diagnosed in ED, the underlying reason  
87 would typically be impossible to identify through clinical means. Another reason for awarding a  
88 partial match to an emergency department diagnosis was that ED clinicians occasionally  
89 preferred to make an open diagnosis that was less specific but yet informative enough to move  
90 forward with additional research and care. As a result, diagnoses such as chest illness, hip  
91 fracture, and injuries to the finger, leg, or foot were considered partial matches.

92 If a particular provisional diagnosis was made in the ED, there was a significantly higher chance  
93 of having a full or partial match of diagnosis (83.4% versus 46.9%, p0.001) (Table 1). In  
94 traumatic situations, the diagnosis' accuracy was statistically higher (85% versus 70.2%, p  
95 0.001). (Table 2)

96 Figure 1 displays the diagnosis accuracy across several specialties. Table 3 displays the use of  
97 investigations for cases that were accepted to the ED.

98 Table 4 displays having blood work done (most commonly a complete blood picture, or CBC)  
99 considerably increased the diagnosis' accuracy (p value=0.03). Surprisingly, for medical  
100 admissions, those without an ECG or an X-ray had far higher accuracy (p less than 0.001). Blood  
101 testing had no significant effect on the matching. There was no discernible difference between  
102 patients who underwent ED investigations versus those who did not, for surgical and orthopedic  
103 admissions.

104 Table 1. Matching by specificity of emergency department diagnosis (p<0.001)

	Full or partial match	Not matched
Specific diagnosis	1457 (83.4)	290 (16.6)
Non-specific diagnosis	390(46.9)	441 (35.1)

105


106 Table 2. Matching between patients with trauma and patients without trauma (p<0.001)

	Full or partial match	Not matched
Trauma	220(85)	39 (15)
Non-trauma	1627(70.2)	692 (29.8)

107

108

109 Figure 1. Accuracy of admission diagnosis in each specialty



110

111

112

113

114 Table 3. Frequency and (percent) of investigations used in each case type

	X RAY	Blood Investigations	Electrocardiography	Urine analysis	Ultrasound
	1118				
Medical	81.9	709 (51.9)	791 (57.9)	69 (5)	5 (.3)
Surgical	269 (57.9)	176 (37.9)	52 (11.2)	52 (11.2)	38 (8.1)

Orthopedic	679 (85.8)	181 (22.8)	459 (58)	19 (2.4)	4 (.5)
Total	2166	1066	1302	140	47

115

116

117 Table 4. Effect of investigations on accuracy of diagnosis

	X RAY	Blood Inv.	Electrocardiography	Urine analysis	Ultrasound
	P value				
Medical	0.001*	Not S.	P value 0.001*	Not S.	Not S.
Surgical	Not S.	P value 0.05	Not S.	Not S.	Not S.
Paediatric	Not S.	Not S.	Not S.	0.05*	Not S.
Orthopedic	Not S.	Not S.	Not S.	Not S.	Not applicable

118

\* indicate negative effect on diagnosis accuracy

119

Inv. Investigations

120

121

## 122 **Discussion**

123 This was the first and largest local investigation on the relationship between hospital discharge  
124 diagnosis and emergency department admission diagnosis. Only a few similar research have  
125 been published in the international literature. In order to ascertain the frequency of missed  
126 diagnoses at the emergency department at King Fahd Hospital of the University (KFHU) in  
127 Khobar, Saudi Arabia, as well as the pertinent causes, a retrospective cohort research was  
128 conducted there in 2009. According to their analysis, missed diagnoses made up 8% of cases,  
129 fully/partially matched diagnoses were 62%, unmatched diagnoses were 10%, and symptoms &  
130 unspecific diagnoses made up 18%. Interns encountered a high percentage of fully/partially  
131 matched diagnoses (65%) compared to consultants' high percentage of unmatched diagnoses  
132 (26%)(El-Mahalli and Mokhtar., 2009).

133 In contrast to X-rays, which negatively affect diagnostic quality in the medicine department, our  
134 study indicated that blood investigations increased diagnosis accuracy in emergency surgical  
135 situations. Accuracy may also improve as you gain more experience interpreting X-rays. Over-  
136 interpretation, which could be caused by a combination of insufficient information gleaned from  
137 the patient's medical history and a lack of experience reading X-rays, could be used to explain  
138 the current study's findings regarding the potential detrimental effect of X-rays on diagnostic  
139 accuracy. While examining X-rays, radiologists occasionally request extra clinical data in order  
140 to do "clinical correlation" and determine the most likely diagnosis. Prior to a patient's final  
141 disposition, senior on-site doctors or even radiologists may be consulted. (George et al., 1992;  
142 Fleisher et al., 1983; Preston et al., 1998).

143 Li et al., 1995 found a diagnosis error rate of only 4%, their study relied on the initiative of the  
144 inpatient specialists to get feedback. The current study may act as a motivating point for future  
145 investigations into the accuracy of emergency department diagnoses, clinical audits, or other  
146 quality assurance activities. Overall, especially in the orthopaedicspeciality, the level of  
147 specificity and matching attained was satisfactory. But there is always room for development,  
148 particularly for geriatric patients. The specific requirements and diagnostic quirks of emergency  
149 problems in elderly people should be covered in emergency medicine training, as some writers  
150 have noted (Kizer and Vassar, 1998); McNamara et al., 1992).

151 Patient history and clinical examination are the two tools that one may use to increase the  
152 accuracy of an ED diagnosis because straightforward investigations like X-rays, bedside  
153 urinalysis, electrocardiography, and blood tests are not that helpful in doing so. Therefore, it is  
154 crucial for medical professionals working in the ED to learn the ability and art of doing a  
155 physical examination and taking a history effectively.

## 156 **Conclusion**

157 Improvements in ED diagnostic accuracy are definitely needed, particularly for nontrauma  
158 situations, young patients, and the elderly. Better training in indicators and interpretations is  
159 necessary because it was discovered that the straightforward investigations offered at emergency  
160 departments were useless and even misleading. The most crucial and fruitful diagnostic methods

161 for emergency physicians continue to be the patient history and clinical examination parts of  
162 good clinical evaluation approaches.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

163 **References**

- 164 Chiu, H. S., Chan, K. F., Chung, C. H., Ma, K., & Au, K. W. (2003). A comparison of  
165 emergency department admission diagnoses and discharge diagnoses: retrospective study. Hong  
166 Kong Journal of emergency medicine, 10(2), 70-75.
- 167 El-Mahalli AA, Mokhtar SA. Miss and missed diagnosed cases at the emergency department of  
168 king fahd hospital of the university in khobar, king saudi arabia. J Egypt Public Health Assoc.  
169 2009;84(5-6):501-516.
- 170 Fleisher G, Ludwig S, McSorley M. Interpretation of pediatric x-ray films by emergency  
171 department pediatricians. Ann Emerg Med 1983;12(3):153-8. Doi: 10.1016/S0196-  
172 0644(83)80557-5
- 173 George JE, Espinosa JA, Quattrone MS. Legal issues in emergency radiology. Practice strategies  
174 to reduce risk. Emerg Med Clin North Am 1992;10(1):197-203. Doi: 10.1016/S0733-  
175 8627(20)30741-0
- 176 Johnson T, McNutt R, Odwazny R, Patel D, Baker S. Discrepancy between admission and  
177 discharge diagnoses as a predictor of hospital length of stay. J Hosp Med. 2009; 4(4):234-239.  
178 doi:10.1002/jhm.453
- 179 Kizer KW, Vassar MJ. Emergency department diagnosis of abdominal disorders in the elderly.  
180 Am J Emerg Med 1998; 16(4):357-62. Doi: 10.1016/S0735-6757(98)90127-9
- 181 Leape LL, Brennan TA, Laird N, et al. The nature of adverse events in hospitalized  
182 patients. Results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study II. N Engl J Med. 1991; 324(6):377-384.  
183 doi:10.1056/NEJM199102073240605
- 184 Li KM, Ting SM, Kwa M. An evaluation study of emergency admission of the United Christian  
185 Hospital. Hong Kong J Emerg Med 1995; 2(1):10-4
- 186 Lim, G. H., Seow, E., Koh, G., Tan, D., & Wong, H. P. Study on the discrepancies between the  
187 admitting diagnoses from the emergency department and the discharge diagnoses. Hong Kong J  
188 Emerg Med 2002; 9(2), 78-82. doi: 10.1177/102490790200900203
- 189 McNamara RM, Rousseau E, Sanders AB. Geriatric emergency medicine: a survey of practicing  
190 emergency physicians. Ann Emerg Med 1992;21(7):796-801. Doi: 10.1016/S0196-  
191 0644(05)81024-8
- 192 McNutt R, Johnson T, Kane J, Ackerman M, Odwazny R, Bardhan J. Cost and quality  
193 implications of discrepancies between admitting and discharge diagnoses. QualManag Health  
194 Care. 2012; 21(4):220-227. doi:10.1097/QMH.0b013e31826d1ed2
- 195 Preston CA, Marr JJ 3rd, Amaraneni KK, Suthar BS. Reduction of "callbacks" to the ED due to  
196 discrepancies in plain radiograph interpretation. Am J Emerg Med 1998;16(2):160-2. Doi:  
197 10.1016/S0735-6757(98)90036-5

198 Shahid M, Hameed K, Iqbal R, Afzal O, Nakeer R, Razzak J. Accuracy of diagnosis and  
199 relationship with quality of emergency medicine training program. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.  
200 2012; 22(5):342-343.

UNDER PEER REVIEW