

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_96356
Title of the Manuscript:	Immediate Dentin Sealing for Indirect Bonded Restoration: Literature Review
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community Yes, the title is suitable. It is comprehensive but Structured abstract is more ideal. Methodology: 1. Inclusion & exclusion criteria not mentioned. 2. How many relevant articles found not mentioned. Manuscript is scientifically sound, but – The results part is not clear, Table-1 is not mentioned any where in the text, Table-1: serial numbering for the studies advisable; studies were haphazardly mentioned example- from old to latest available studies looks better; Year is missing in the study by Ferreira-Filho RC et al. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, References are sufficient and recent 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Lakshmana Rao
Department, University & Country	Lenora Institute of Dental Sciences, India