

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_96351
Title of the Manuscript:	Kinetic spectrophotometric determination of ascorbic acid by using phenanthroline agent in Eruca sativum
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- The article is important and new 2- Title is very adequate 3- The abstract is well written 4- Concerning article structure, validation of the analytical method was missed, Please add sufficient information about method accuracy , precision, repeatability Besides, linear range was very narrow, did the authors try higher concentrations than 6.0 µg/mL. 5- The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, validation data is mandatory 6- Study of Ascorbic Acid as Iron(III) Reducing Agent for Spectrophotometric Iron Speciation https://jpacr.ub.ac.id/index.php/jpacr/article/view/101/98 The aforementioned article should be cited and compared in a table form with the new method concerning linearity, LOD,LOQ, applications, merits and demerits Besides, Phytochemical contents, antioxidant activity and functional properties of <i>Raphanus sativus</i> L, <i>Eruca sativa</i> L. and <i>Hibiscus sabdariffa</i> L. growing in Ethiopia Should be cited too https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7855332/	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is very adequate	
Optional/General comments	1-Generally, number of references should be increased, many reviews about vitamin c and o-phenanthroline could be added. 2- Evaluation of method greenness is recommended http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2022.107242	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Gamal Mahmoud
Department, University & Country	Pharmacy College, Beni Suef University, Egypt