

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_96195</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Microbiological Profile of Blood Stream Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital, J&amp;K. India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1 - The manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it addresses a public health issue</p> <p>2- Yes the title is appropriate</p> <p>3 - In the abstract, the context is not well defined</p> <p>4- In the introduction the last sentence is poorly said. I proposed: This bacterial variability prompted the present study, to determine the bacterial isolates involved in bloodstream infections, in adults and children, including neonates, as well as their antibiogram, in order to guide clinicians in the implementation of appropriate empirical antibiotics and to prevent irrational use of antibiotics In Materials and Methods, the paragraph Collection and processing of sample is very poorly said and the authors should be clearer Likewise for the results</p> <p>5- Not enough</p> <p>6- the references are old, we need recent references</p> <p>Although the quality of the English is there, all the text is heavy to read and sometimes incomprehensible.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Context: Worldwide, bacteremia is one of the serious infections that cause significant morbidity and mortality in hospitalized patients. After a wide range of microorganisms have been implicated in the etiology of bacteremia, it has been shown that early detection of these pathogens as well as determination of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns would improve treatment outcomes. The present study aimed to determine the microbiological profile of bacteremia in a large tertiary care hospital in northern India.</p> <p>Materials and Methods it was understood that these are samples. There is no point in repeating it. I proposed Blood samples submitted in brain-heart infusion broth (BHI) for culture and sensitivity over a one-year period, from September 2021 to August 30, 2022, were included in the study, and processed according to standard laboratory protocol techniques, to isolate and identify the pathogens responsible for BSI</p> <p>In the conclusion. I propose: The results of this study recommend judicious use of antibiotics, and mandatory antimicrobial susceptibility testing in hospitals, for appropriate therapeutic measures</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript must be well revised before publication</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thiery Ndong Mba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Sciences and Techniques of Masuku, Gabon</b>