

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_96173
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Addictive Behavior Among University Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. <b>No</b> 2. <b>It is better to mention the country where the study was carried out.</b> 3. <b>No</b> : - <b>The prevalence rate of drug use instead the prevalence rate of drugs.</b> - It is necessary to mention the age of the participants and the average age and the number of faculties where the study was carried out. - <b>Mention must be made of the period of the study, the country where the study was carried out and the equipment used for this study.</b>  4. <b>Yes</b> 5. <b>English translation is bad.</b> 431 University students: the University certainly has several faculties so 431 is a small sample. Keywords: Almost all the keywords mean addiction; you have to diversify a bit, like adding the words: prevalence, university. These parts are too long for nothing and you need the summaries (especially the third part: Toxicity of the different drugs used): The Different Types of Use, Factors that may change how a drug works and Toxicity of the different drugs used.  6. <b>The references 2, 21, 23 and 25 are obsolete.</b> Some references are poorly structured like 26 and 27.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Poor	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khalid Astitene
Department, University & Country	Morocco