

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_96057
Title of the Manuscript:	Polypharmacy in elderly people: A Simple Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Of great relevance, after all, it is extremely important for society, especially the population that still lives with an elderly person or is still in their family, know all the physiology that goes on in the elderly person.</p> <p>The title is cohesive</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is understandable The work must include the paragraphs for the beginning of the citation and observe the formatting in accordance with the rules of the journal.</p> <p>As much as it is a literature review, the author should express in his own words the opinions of the authors and looking for facts inserted in articles as a way of complementing the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drugs in the elderly. This could contribute to a more explanatory framework.</p> <p>Good and relevant quantity.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is well placed in the text, in an understandable way.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1 – suggest to the author to report the meaning of the abbreviations, DRP and PIM.</p> <p>2- insert the general objective of the work</p> <p>3 – would it be interesting for the author to open a topic and report on the physiology of the elderly, what happens to the body over the course of age? When does it reach that stage for these medications to cause serious health problems for the elderly? At the beginning of the introduction it was reported that it can cause changes due to the fact that the person is already elderly. Tell me why it can cause this change with polypharmacy?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>There is no ethics, because the work is a bibliographic review, because the authors are the ones who stand out.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mônica Barbosa de Sousa Freitas
Department, University & Country	Florida Christian University, USA