

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_96194
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the effect of nursing intervention on emotional intelligence, self-esteem and empathy of baccalaureate nursing students undergoing clinical experience in paediatric units-Pilot study (Part-2)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is an original research article, which evaluates the effect of nursing intervention on emotional intelligence, self-esteem and empathy of baccalaureate nursing students of clinical experience in pediatric units. The data presented in this manuscript constitutes the second part of the analysis of a pilot study. The topic addressed is interesting and the results obtained from this research can reveal important information that can influence the training, particularly considering the bachelor's degree courses, of future nursing professionals.</p> <p>The research project was well designed and written in a clear and understandable way. The title and abstract reflect the data presented in the work. The text is divided into subsections that are appropriate for a scientific paper. Citations and references in the manuscript appear to be adequate.</p> <p>In the discussion, I consider that the work could be even better if this part extended. The data found are compared with several studies with similar methodologies carried out in different countries. However, I consider that the authors failed to present possible explanations for the results found, as well as to suggest possibilities. For example, they could better discuss if the intervention time was different (longer or shorter), if the average age of the sample was different, the gender of the participants, among other factors addressed in the study, allowing a better reflection on these results.</p> <p>Finally, the authors could add comments on the difficulties encountered in the study and the repercussion that these data may have on the training of nursing professionals.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ana Quenia Gomes da Silva Allahdadi
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil