

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JOCAMR_95949</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Flat Tummy Water Attenuated Lipid Profile and Serum Glucose of High-Fat Diet-Induced Obese Female Wister Rats</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Work is productive and good results are shown. I appreciate the work.<br><br>Title of article is very well defined.<br><br>Yes<br><br>Appropriate<br><br>Correct<br><br>An adequate number of References are cited. Apart from this if you are able to make the weight of the animals among the groups in a uniform random range then the effect of the extracts will be more precise to define. |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Loushambam Samananda Singh</b>        |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Assam Don Bosco University, India</b> |