

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</b> |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JMSRR_96414</b>                                    |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>A Review of Teeth Whitening Procedures.</b>           |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Review Article</b>                                    |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> |   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   |   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | The topic was reviewed in a superficial way, which needs more accurate references in the details.<br>The references should be written in an organized and unified manner in terms of name and year. |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Nagat Hassan Bubteina</b>         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Benghazi, Libya</b> |