

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_96215
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATING THE POTENTIALS OF PALM KERNEL SHELLS ASH IN CERAMIC GLAZE DEVELOPMENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript content is important to the scientific research community.</p> <p>The title is suitable for the manuscript content.</p> <p>The abstract is sufficient for the manuscript.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is good.</p> <p>This manuscript scientifically acceptable correct.</p> <p>The number of references for the manuscript are sufficient and recent year.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is acceptable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Check spacing between tables etc. Also, pictures placement (suitable put pictures in table too)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Soyapi Bin Mohd Yusoff
Department, University & Country	Institute of Industry Revolution 4.0, The National University of Malaysia, Malaysia