

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_95659
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICO-MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF FURFURYLATED PTERYGOTA MACROCARPA (K.Shum.) WOOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community especially of forestry industry in respective country.</p> <p>2. The recommended of title for the manuscript is AN ANALYSIS ON IMPACT OF FURFURYLATION TREATMENTS ON THE PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF PTERYGOTA MACROCARPA WOOD.</p> <p>3. The abstract was not comprehensive and the comments are stated in red colour of texts.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure must be clearly. The author's need to briefly describe the research objectives, research questions, research contributions and recommendations of the study.</p> <p>5. The author's need to clearly on the research methodology method. More explanations on the research design/ sampling design and data collections. The elaborations on the research findings are very clearly. Please checked the output that relate with the data analysis to support the research objectives . More justify and elaborate on it.</p> <p>6. There are some errors on the writing of the references. Use the APA format. The author's need to clearly the techniques of academic writing on result & research findings.</p> <p>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author's need to focus and comprehensive of explanations and justification of the research findings. The language/English quality is suitable and accepted.</p> <p>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are some errors on the technique of writing especially the table/diagram. No source as stated.</p> <p>(All comments are stated in red colour of texts)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Bin Dakian
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia