

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_95659
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICO-MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF FURFURYLATED PTERYGOTA MACROCARPA (K.Shum.) WOOD
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

**ART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript needs to be improved in order to be published. The authors should check the suggestions and do the modifications in all the items (Title, Abstract, Introduction/objective, Material and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusions and References). All these items should be improved (use the reviewed manuscript file as source). The manuscript is important for the scientific community. The title is suitable and has a comprehensive abstract, but needs to be rechecked and revised. The division of the subsections are appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct, and the references, in general, are recent.</p> <p>Applicability of the process (furfurylation) in scale, and indications of potential uses of the species use after the modification should be mentioned into the manuscript.</p> <p>The language grammar and manuscript template should be rechecked and adequate to English language and the journal template, respectively.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The authors should check the journal template format.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	The paper can be accepted for publication, with revisions.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Carlos Eduardo Silveira da Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil